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ABSTRACT
This paper deals with the design and implementation of a Filtered Multitone (FMT) modulation system. FMT generalizes the popular OFDM scheme through the deployment of sub-channel shaping filters. We address the implementation problem and we describe (and compare in terms of complexity) two efficient implementation methods in the time domain (TD) and another in the frequency domain (FD). We propose a simple design of the prototype pulse and we propose to synthesize it in the TD with a small number of frequency components. This allows to efficiently implement the FMT scheme through the FD architecture. A simple FD equalization scheme is also proposed and its performance is evaluated in typical wireless fading channels. The results show that FMT performs better than OFDM with and without channel coding.

1. INTRODUCTION
In this paper we consider design and implementation aspects of multicarrier modulation based architectures [1]. The main idea behind these architectures is to convert a sequence of data symbols at high rate, into a number of sub-sequences at low rate. Each low rate sequence is transmitted through a sub-channel that is shaped with an appropriate filter centered on a given sub-carrier. In particular, we consider Filtered Multitone (FMT) modulation [2] that is a discrete time implementation of a multicarrier system where sub-carriers are uniformly spaced and the sub-channel pulses are identical (Fig. 1). Discrete Multitone Modulation (DMT) (also referred to as orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM)) can be viewed as an FMT scheme that deploys rectangular time domain filters. FMT modulation can be deployed for transmission over broadband frequency selective channels both in wireline [2] and in wireless scenarios [3]-[5]. The channel frequency selectivity introduces intercarrier (ICI) and intersymbol (ISI) interference at the receiver. The design of the sub-channel filters, and the choice of the sub-carrier spacing in an FMT system, aims at subdividing the spectrum in a number of sub-channels that do not overlap in the frequency domain, such that we can avoid the ICI and get low ISI contributions. In a DMT system the insertion of a cyclic prefix longer than the channel time dispersion is such that the ISI and ICI are eliminated, and the receiver simplifies to a simple one-tap equalizer per sub-channel. The key aspects of FMT modulation are the design of the prototype pulse, the efficient implementation of the synthesis/analysis filter banks, and finally the design of the multi-channel detector (equalizer).

In this paper we focus on the efficient implementation. We review the implementation proposed by Cherubini et al. [2] that is obtained by the polyphase decomposition of the signals. Then, we propose other two implementations one of which is in the time domain (TD) and another in the frequency domain (FD). We propose a FD design of the prototype pulse. Finally, we describe a simple FD equalizer and we report a performance comparison with OFDM over typical wireless fading channels.

2. FMT MODULATION SCHEME
An FMT modulation based architecture is depicted in Fig. 1 where we assume the following system parameters: \( T \) is the transmission period; \( W = 1/T \) is the transmission bandwidth; \( M \) is the number of sub-channels; \( T_0 = NT \) is the sub-channel symbol period; \( f_k \) is the \( k \)-th sub-carrier; \( g(nT) \) is the prototype pulse; \( R = M / T_0 \) is the overall transmission rate in symbol/s. The transmitter (synthesis stage) generates the signal

\[
x(iT) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} a^{(k)}(I_T) e^{j(kf_i T_0 + 2\pi l f_0 T)} \quad i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1)
\]

where \( a^{(k)}(I_T) \) is the sequence of complex data symbols, e.g., M-QAM, that is transmitted on sub-channel \( k = 0,...,M-1 \) at rate \( 1/T_0 \). If the sub-carrier spacing \( f_k - f_{k+1} \) is larger than \( 1/T_0 \) the scheme is referred to as non-critically sampled FMT, otherwise if \( f_k - f_{k+1} = 1/T_0 \) it is referred to as critically sampled FMT [2]. The implementation of the modulator according to (1) is inefficient. Assuming that the prototype pulse is FIR with \( L_0 \) coefficients, it requires a number of complex operations (sums and multiplications) per output coefficient \( x(iT) \) equal to \( 2M[\lfloor L_0 / N \rfloor + M - 1] \). The signal (1) is digital-to-analog converted and transmitted over the communication channel (after RF conversion, in wireless applications). The received lowpass signal is analog-to-digital converted to obtain \( y(iT) \) and then it is passed through an analysis filter bank with prototype pulse \( h(nT) \). The sampled outputs at rate \( 1/T_0 \) are

\[
\tilde{a}^{(k)}(I_T) = \sum_{l=0}^{L_0-1} y(iT) e^{-j2\pi l f_0 T} h(nT - iT) \quad k = 0,...,M-1 \quad (2)
\]

If the analysis pulse is FIR with \( L_0 \) coefficients, (2) requires \( 2ML_0 / T_0 \) operations per second.

In the following sub-sections we describe three possible efficient implementations two of which are in the TD and one in the FD.
3. BASELINE IMPLEMENTATION: METHOD A

Let us assume the sub-carriers to be \( f_s = k \cdot (MT) \). Then, following [2], if we compute the polyphase decomposition of (1) with period \( T_s = MT \leq T_0 \) we obtain

\[
x_{\alpha}^{(i)}(mT_s) = x(iT + mT_s) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} a^{(i)}(I_k)e^{j2\pi ik/M} g((iT + mT_s - IT_0);
\]

With the following definitions

\[
A^{(i)}(I_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} a^{(i)}(I_k)e^{j2\pi ik/M}
\]

(4)

\[
p = \lceil mM / N \rceil \quad q = \lfloor mM \rfloor \%
\]

(5)

\[
g((iT + mT_s - IT_0) = g^{(0)}(mT_s - IT_0) = g^{(0)}(pT_s - IT_0 + qT)
\]

(6)

where \([\cdot]\) and \(\lfloor \cdot \rfloor\) are the floor and remainder functions, we obtain

\[
x_{\alpha}^{(i)}(mT_s) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} a^{(i)}(I_k)\tilde{g}^{(0)}(pT_s - IT_0; mT_s; IT_0).
\]

(7)

Therefore, the FMT signal (Fig. 2) can be synthesized via an M-point inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT), followed by time-variant filtering at rate \( 1/T_0 \) with the pulses \( \tilde{g}^{(0)}(I_k; mT_s) \), and finally P/S conversion. The polyphase components of the prototype pulse are cyclically time-variant if \( T_s > T_0 \) with period \( L_0 T_0 \).

This implementation has been proposed in [2]. Assuming to implement the IDFT with an inverse fast Fourier transform (FFT), the scheme requires \((\alpha M \log M + 2N[L_0/N - 1])\)/\( T_0 \) operations per second where \( \alpha \geq 1 \) is a constant related to the FFT algorithm.

The analysis filterbank (2) can be implemented as follows

\[
z_{\alpha}^{(i)}(I_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} y_{\alpha}^{(i)}(mT_s) e^{-j2\pi ik/M} h(IT_0 - mT_s - iT)
\]

(8)

where

\[
Z_{\alpha}^{(i)}(I_k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y_{\alpha}^{(i)}(mT_s)h^{(-1)}(pT_s - mT_s; IT_0)
\]

(9)

\[
h(IT_0 - mT_s - iT) = h^{(-1)}(pT_s - mT_s; IT_0)
\]

(10)

\[
p = \lfloor IN / M \rfloor \quad q = \lceil (IN) \%M \rceil
\]

(11)

Therefore, the receiver filter bank (Fig. 2) can be implemented with a S/P conversion of the received signal \( y(IT) \), low-rate filtering with the cyclically time-variant pulses \( h^{(-1)}(mT_s; IT_0) \), followed by an M-point DFT. The receiver filter bank implementation requires \((\alpha M \log M + M[2L_0/M - 1])\)/\( T_0 \) operations per second.

It follows that this implementation of the FMT transmit/receive filter banks is advantageous compared to the direct implementation in (1)-(2), and it is simple if the synthesis and analysis prototype pulses are realized with FIR filters with a small number of coefficients.

4. TD IMPLEMENTATION: METHOD B

Herein we propose an alternative way of implementing the synthesis/analysis stages. It is obtained by computing the polyphase decomposition of (1) with period \( T_s = MT \), assuming \( M = \text{lcm}(M, N) = K_sM = L_0N \). Therefore, the FMT signal (Fig. 3) can be synthesized via an M-point DFT, cyclic extension of the outputs, low-rate filtering with the pulses \( g^{(0)}(I_k) = g(IT + IT_0) \), sampling with period \( L_0 T_0 \), and P/S conversion. The complexity of this scheme accounts for \( (\alpha M \log M + 2N[L_0/N - 1])\)/\( T_0 \) operations per second (identical to Method A).

Similarly, the analysis filter bank can be implemented as

\[
z_{\alpha}^{(i)}(I_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} Z_{\alpha}^{(i)}(I_k) e^{-j2\pi ik/M}
\]

(13)

\[
Z_{\alpha}^{(i)}(I_k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y_{\alpha}^{(i)}(mL_0T_0)h^{(-1)}(mL_0T_0 - mL_0T_0)
\]

(14)

Therefore, the FMT signal (Fig. 3) can be analyzed by low-rate filtering with pulses \( h^{(-1)}(mL_0T_0) = h(IT_0 - IT) \), followed by an M-point DFT. This filterbank implementation requires \((\alpha M \log M + M[2L_0/M - 1])\)/\( T_0 \) operations per second that are less than those in Method A if \( K_s > 2 \).

5. FD IMPLEMENTATION: METHOD C

Let us assume the prototype pulse to have duration \( T_s = MT \), with \( M = L_0N \), and let us assume the sub-carriers to be \( f_s = (kK_s + k_0)/M_s \) with \( k = 0,...,M_s - 1 \) and \( M_s = \lfloor M/K_s \rfloor \).

Then, we can write

\[
x_{\alpha}^{(i)}(mT_s) = x(iT + mT_s) = \sum_{i=0}^{M_s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{L_s-1} a^{(i)}(I_k)g((iT + mT_s - IT_0)e^{-j2\pi ik/M_s} \).
\]

(15)

Let us denote with \( G^{(s)} \) the DFT with \( M_s \) points of \( g(IT) \),

\[
G^{(s)} = G\left(\frac{n}{M_s}\right) = \frac{1}{M_s} \sum_{i=0}^{M_s-1} g(iT)e^{-j2\pi in/M_s}
\]

(16)

For easy of notation we assume \( k_0 = 0 \), (15) can be manipulated as follows

\[
x_{\alpha}^{(i)}(mT_s) = \sum_{i=0}^{M_s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{L_s-1} \sum_{i=0}^{M_s-1} a^{(i)}(I_k)G^{(s)}(e^{j2\pi ik/L_s})e^{-j2\pi ik/M_s} \).
\]

(17)

Finally,
and Nyquist points, we have that $33(3)$, $f \mid - -$. Herein, are the $M$-point DFT of $- = - = - = -$. Therefore, the FMT signal (Fig. 4) can be synthesized by realizing in hardware via an FFT and an overlap-and-add operation. As a result, it can be easily and flexibly realized in hardware via an FFT and an overlap-and-add operation. Now, let us turn the attention to the analysis filter bank (2). Again, with a frequency domain approach we can write

$$B^{(k)}(m_T) = a^{(k)}(m_T)e^{-j2\pi\frac{mT}{T}}h^{(k)}(mT)e^{-j2\pi\frac{mT}{T}}, \quad k=0,1,2$$

(20)

Therefore, the FMT signal (Fig. 4) can be synthesized by weighting the block of $K$ frequency components of the prototype pulse with each of the $M$ data symbols to obtain (20). Then, we run an $M$-point IDFT, followed by an overlap-and-add operation at rate $1/T$, according to (18). The evaluation of the complexity of this implementation yields $(\alpha L_o, \log M, 3+L_o)/T$ operations per second. Although this method may involve a higher number of operations than the Methods A/B, it can be easily and flexibly realized in hardware via an FFT and a overlap-and-add operation. Let us then turn the attention to the analysis filter bank (2). Again, with a frequency domain approach we can write

$$z^{(k)}(m_T) = \sum y(mT + iT)e^{-j2\pi\frac{mT}{T}}h(iT)e^{-j2\pi\frac{mT}{T}}$$

(21)

where $Y^{(k)}(mT)$ and $H^{(k)}$ are the $M$-point DFT of $y(mT + iT)$ and $h(iT)$. The equality holds for Parseval theorem under the assumption of a pulse with duration $M T$ and $K$ non-zero frequency components. This method (Fig. 4) requires $(\alpha L_o, \log M, 3+L_o)/T$ operations per second.

6. DESIGN OF THE PROTOTYPE PULSE

The design of the prototype pulse is a key issue. To fulfill the orthogonality conditions we look for pulses that are band limited and have Nyquist autocorrelation. A straightforward choice is to use, for instance, a truncated root-raised-cosine pulse. Truncation gives rise to side lobs (thus, to increased ICI) and to non-perfectly raised cosine autocorrelation (thus, to ISI). However, the FIR prototype pulse makes the TD implementation non-perfectly raised cosine autocorrelation (thus, to ISI). Truncation gives rise to side lobs (thus, to increased ICI) and to use, for instance, a truncated root-raised-cosine pulse.

Now, let us turn the attention to the analysis filter bank (2). Again, with a frequency domain approach we can write

$$z^{(k)}(m_T) = \sum y(mT + iT)e^{-j2\pi\frac{mT}{T}}h(iT)e^{-j2\pi\frac{mT}{T}}$$

(21)

where $Y^{(k)}(mT)$ and $H^{(k)}$ are the $M$-point DFT of $y(mT + iT)$ and $h(iT)$. The equality holds for Parseval theorem under the assumption of a pulse with duration $M T$ and $K$ non-zero frequency components. This method (Fig. 4) requires $(\alpha L_o, \log M, 3+L_o)/T$ operations per second.

We herein model the baseband channel with a discrete time filter $g_{cH}(nT)$ that comprises the effect of the DAC and ADC stages. In the wireless context with multipath fading, the channel taps are complex with Gaussian distribution. It follows that the received signal reads

$$y(nT) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} a^{(k)}(m_T)e^{j2\pi\frac{k}{M}nT}g_{cH}(nT - m_T) + \eta(nT)$$

(24)

where the $k$-th sub-channel equivalent impulse response is

$$g^{(k)}_{cH}(nT) = \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} a^{(i)}g^{(i)}(nT - m_T)$$

(25)

Assuming the analysis filterbank to be matched to the equivalent sub-channel responses, i.e., $h^{(k)}(nT) = g^{(k)}_{cH}(nT)$, the $k$-th filter output sample reads

$$z^{(k)}(m_T) = \sum_{n} y(nT)g^{(k)}_{cH}(nT - m_T)$$

(26)

where the first term represents the useful data contribution, the second additive term is the ISI contribution, the third term is the ICI contribution, and the fourth term is the noise contribution. Further, $\kappa^{(k)}_{cH}(m_T) = g^{(k)}_{cH}(m_T)$ is the equivalent sub-channel autocorrelation. If we assume frequency concentrated non-overlapping sub-channels the ICI term is zero. The ISI can be mitigated with some form of equalization, i.e., maximum likelihood sequence estimation, linear or decision feedback equalization [3]-[5]. If the ISI is negligible, we may want to use a simple one tap equalizer. To derive a simple detector we assume that the prototype pulse has short duration, such that convolved with the channel yields an equivalent response with time support approximately equal to $M T$. Then, the matched filtering operation can take place in the frequency domain as in (21) by using $H^{(k)} = G^{(k)}_{cH} = G^{(k)} = G^{(k)}_{cH}$ where $G^{(k)}$ and $G^{(k)}$ are the DFT of the prototype pulse and of the channel. A further simplification can be obtained by choosing, e.g., with a minimum mean-square-error criterion, a single channel weight.
\[ H^{(1)} \] within a single sub-channel \( k \), which corresponds to assume the channel flat within a band \( K_F \).

8. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In Fig. 6 we show bit-error-rate (BER) performance of the FMT scheme that uses the prototype pulse proposed in Section 6 and the FD equalizer in Section 7. We assume a Rayleigh faded channel with exponential power delay profile (truncated to 32T) with root-mean-square delay spread \( \tau \) and a 20 MHz bandwidth (as in the WLAN standard IEEE 802.11a). Further, we use BPSK signalling, and parameters \( M_f=128, \ K_f=11, \ M=11 \). We consider also channel coding with a convolutional encoder of rate \( \frac{1}{2} \) and constraint length 5 that is followed by a random bit-interleaver, and an S/P converter to produce \( M \) bit streams. The simple FD equalization scheme is deployed under the assumption of knowing the channel. For comparison we report also the BER performance of an OFDM (DMT) system that uses a 128 point FFT, a cyclic prefix of length 32, and data rate identical to the FMT system. The same channel encoder is deployed for the OFDM system. Fig. 6 shows that the BER performance of the FMT system is good even without channel coding. Note also that some frequency diversity exploitation is possible also without coding despite the simple equalization scheme. On the contrary the uncoded OFDM scheme does not provide any diversity gain. With coding there is a deep performance improvement in both the FMT and the OFDM scheme that is more pronounced for high delay spreads as a result of higher frequency diversity. However, the coding scheme shows superior performance than the uncoded OFDM scheme still having identical data rate and comparable complexity.

9. CONCLUSIONS

We have described and compared in terms of complexity several efficient implementations of an FMT scheme. We have proposed a FD design of the prototype pulse and a simple FD equalization scheme. The performance results show the superiority of the proposed FMT scheme compared to conventional OFDM in wireless fading channels with and without channel coding.

Fig. 1. FMT modulator/demodulator with inefficient implementation.

Fig. 2. FMT modulator/demodulator implemented with Method A.

Fig. 3. FMT modulator/demodulator implemented with Method B.

Fig. 4. FD implementation of the FMT system (Method C).

Fig. 5. Prototype pulse synthesized in the FD with \( K_f \) frequency components.

Fig. 6. Performance comparison between uncoded/coded FMT and OFDM.
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